### Supplementary Appendix

This appendix has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

Supplement to: Bergwerk M, Gonen T, Lustig Y, et al. Covid-19 breakthrough infections in vaccinated health care workers. N Engl J Med. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2109072

## Supplementary Appendix

#### Contents

Supplementary Table $f 1$ – demographic, epidemiological and clinical characteristics of HCW breakthroug	gh
cases	. 2
Supplementary table 2 – prevalence of symptoms and clinical outcomes within a cohort of vaccinated	
HCWs with a breakthrough infection	. 4
Supplementary table 3 - Comparison of cases with peri-infection Nab	. 6
(included in the case-control study) and those without, who were not included	. 6
Supplementary table 4 – Sensitivity analysis of the matching algorithm	.8
Legends to Supplementary Figures	11

### $\label{lem:continuous} \textbf{Supplementary Table 1-demographic, epidemiological and clinical}$

#### characteristics of HCW breakthrough cases (n=39)

Number 25 42.1 42.05	% of total 64.1% Range 24-66
42.1	
	Range 24-66
42.05	
18	46.2%
10	25.6%
6	15.4%
5	12.8%
11	28.2%
1	2.6%
1	2.6%
6	15.4%
3	7.7%
4	10.3%
27	69.2%
11	28.2%
1	2.6%
	10 6 5 11 1 1 6 3 4

Presumed source of exposure		
Unvaccinated household member	21	53.8%
Unvaccinated patient	7	17.9%
Unvaccinated contact in the community	5	12.8%
Unvaccinated HCW	4	10.3%
Unknown	2	5.1%
PCR results		
Infectious (at least one test with N-gene Ct<30)	29	74.3%
Presumably non-infectious (N-gene Ct value >30 on all tests)	10	25.6%
Borderline result (repeated N-gene Ct>35 and asymptomatic)	6	15.4%
SARS-CoV-2 variant	n=33	
B.1.1.7	28	84.8%
Wild type	1	3.0%
Rapid antigen test	n=36	
Positive	17	47.2%
Post-infection Anti-N IgG (Days 8-72)	n=22	Range 0.1- 38.6
Positive	16	72.7%

Supplementary table 2 – prevalence of symptoms and clinical outcomes within a cohort of vaccinated HCWs with a breakthrough infection

Symptom prevalence	Number of cases	% of total
Asymptomatic	13	33.3%
Congestion	14	35.9%
Myalgia (including backache)	11	28.2%
Anosmia and/or ageusia	11	28.2%
Cough	10	25.6%
Weakness	9	23.1%
Headache	9	23.1%
Fever and/or rigors	8	21%
Sore throat	6	15.4%
Fatigue	5	12.8%
Nausea and/or vomiting	4	10.3%
Dyspnea	3	7.7%
Diarrhea	3	7.7%
Clinical course and outcome		
1 negligible symptom	4	10.3%

2 or more symptoms	22	56.4%
Hospital admission or workup due to COVID-19	0	0.0%
Long-COVID-19 symptoms		
Long-COVID-19 symptoms (at >14 days)	11/39	28.2%
Long-COVID-19 symptoms (at 6 weeks)	7/36	19.4%

# Supplementary table 3 - Comparison of cases with peri-infection Nab (included in the case-control study) and those without, who were not included

	n = 17	n = 22	P value
Age	40.59	43.09	
Mean, (standard deviation, range)	(11.25,24.00-66.00)	(8.47, 27.00-59.00)	
Lowest Ct	24.65	26.12	
Mean, (standard deviation, range)	(7.16, 16.99 -36.97)	(6.45, 18.18-39.07)	
Sex (female), n (%)	11 (64.71%)	14 (63.64%)	0.999
HCW profession			0.23
Physician	1 (5.88%)	4 (18.18%)	
Nursing	6 (35.29%)	12 (54.55%)	
Logistics and maintenance	6 (35.29%)	4 (18.18%)	
Allied health professionals	4 (23.53%)	2 (9.09%)	
Indication for SARS-CoV-2 testing			0.17
Exposure	14 (82.35%)	13 (59.09%)	
Symptoms	2 (11.76%)	9 (40.90%)	
Screening	1 (5.88%)	0 (0.00%)	
Symptoms during full infection course			0.54
Asymptomatic	7 (41.18%)	6 (27.27%)	

Symptomatic	10 (58.82%)	16 (72.72%)	
Rapid antigen test performed (n=36)	n = 15	n = 21	0.70
Positive	7 (46.66%)	10 (47.62%)	
Variant of concern (number tested = 33)	n = 14	n = 19	0.67
B.1.1.7. U.K.	13 (92.86%)	15 (78.95%)	

#### Supplementary table 4 – Sensitivity analysis of the matching algorithm

To confirm the robustness of the matching criteria, we also selected other controls from the cohort, after changing the matching criteria, allowing a +/- 5 years difference in age and without matching for gender. The results are presented in Supplementary Table 4:

# Supplementary table 4 - a. population characteristics and b. outcomes of the sensitivity analysis

	Cases (n=22)	Controls (n=108)	
	a. Population c	haracteristics	
Female	14 (64%)	90 (83.3%)	
Median age (years)	44	43	
Average age (years)	43	42.72	
Comorbidities			
Immunosuppression	1 (4.5%)	3 (2.77%)	
Autoimmune disease	0 (0%)	5 (4.63%)	
BMI>30	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
2 <sup>nd</sup> vaccine dose to ab test	36.2 / 36	36.5 / 28.5	
interval (D) (average/median)			
b. Outcomes			
	Cases (n=22)	Controls (n=108)	Ratio

Peri-infection Nab titers	n = 22	n = 108	
Observed Geometric Mean	192.80	560.10	
	192.80	300.10	
Nab titer (95%CI)	(95% CI: 81.82 – 454.30)	(95% CI: 442.80 – 708.60)	
Geometric mean Nab titer	192.79	556.13	0.347
predicted by GEE model	(95%CI: 65.33 – 568.95)	(95%CI: 413.93 – 747.18)	95%CI 0.158-0.761
Peri-infection anti-S IgG titers	n=22	n=106	
Observed Geometric mean	11.22	21.99	
	(95% CI: 5.71 – 22.04)	(95% CI: 18.86 – 26.65)	
Predicted IgG titer by GEE	11.21	21.70	0.517
model	(95%CI: 5.25- 23.96)	(95% CI: 17.45-26.99)	95% CI: 0.301-0.888
Peak Nab titer	n=12	n=57	
Observed Geometric mean	152.20	975.40	
	(95% CI: 29.89 – 775.10)	(95% CI: 710.80- 1338.00)	
Predicted Geometric mean by	152.22	864.54	0.176
GEE model	(95% CI: 30.68-755.21)	(95% CI: 638.04 -1171.45)	95%CI: 0.048 -0.645
Peak anti-S IgG	n=20	n=98	
Observed geometric mean	16.27	31.60	
	(95% CI: 7.46 – 35.51)	(95% CI: 27.80 – 35.93)	

Predicted geometric mean by	16.27	31.17	0.522
GEE model	(95% CI: 7.44-35.59)	(95% CI: 28.68-36.43)	95% CI: 0.279-0.977

#### Legends to Supplementary Figures

Figure S1: Matching Algorithm – Control source cohort – all fully vaccinated HCW participating in the Sheba serology study, who have Nab results recorded. 2<sup>nd</sup> dose to Nab test time interval = interval (in days) since 2<sup>nd</sup> vaccine dose and Nab test. A larger difference between the case and control was allowed, depending on the time interval. As the interval of the cases increase, greater differences among their controls are allowed with the following matching caliper: For cases with a time interval of up to 10 day, +/- 1 day difference is allowed for matched controls, for 11-20 days, +/- 3 days is allowed, for 21-40 days, +/- 5 days is allowed and for longer intervals (>40 days), up to +/- 10 days is allowed. Immunosuppression status included: organ transplantation, hematologic malignancy, chemotherapy and biologic therapy, steroid use, and post-splenectomy. Matching by age aimed to be stringent, but was allowed to gradually relax to yield at least four controls, following the rules where, initially only matched cases with difference of up to +/-1 year are included, if this yields less than 4 controls, difference of +/-5 years is allowed and then +/-10 years, if this fails to yield a cluster of 4 matched controls, this criterion was further extended to include all in the age category of <65 (eventually in 2 cases).

**Figure S2**: Peak IgG plotted against Peri-infection IgG, where two separate measurements were available.